Add cites for Statistical Fallacies

“Suspicious Minds: Unexpected Election Outcomes, Perceived Electoral Integrity and Satisfaction With Democracy in American Presidential Elections.” <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/epub/10.1177/10659129231166679> (Accessed August 28, 2023).

Cottrell, David, Michael C. Herron, and Sean J. Westwood. 2018. “An exploration of Donald Trump’s allegations of massive voter fraud in the 2016 General Election.” *Electoral Studies* 51: 123–142. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S026137941730166X> (Accessed August 28, 2023). - you bet and we need more than a bare cite since they rebut claims such as fraud linked to high levels of non-citizen voting tht we should mention.

Footnote about My Pillow Guy

<https://www.realclearpolitics.com/articles/2022/03/28/new_peer-reviewed_research_finds_evidence_of_2020_voter_fraud_147378.html?callback=in&code=NGQ0ZMZHMWMTZGNKMS0ZYTY4LWJJOTITZTDMMTZIY2JHODGX&state=774803ff3ce047398697cf4279df1e75>

## Arithmetic Fallacies

Cherry-picking the data

Failing to weight units

Two-party vote share versus shares of total votes cast

## Misinterpreting Statistical Significance

## Meretricious Probabilistic Reasoning

Voters with the Same Name and Date and Year of Birth

Benford’s Law

Tip of the iceberg fallacy

Straw man fallacy

## Logically Invalid Arguments with a True Premise involving Historical Election Results Comparisons

Spoiled ballots

## Logically Valid Arguments with a False Premise involving Historical Election Results Comparisons

Presidential coattails

Bellwether counties

Other Cross-Election Comparisons

## Logically Valid Arguments with False Statistical Premises Using Comparisons Based on Features or Components of the Same Presidential Election

*Matching design (within-election, split ticket voting versus straight ticket voting*

Comparison of differences involving samples with different means

Matching design (within-election comparisons of areas with and without fraud claims).